Spectrum of Engineering Sciences
ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X
Volume 3, Issue 3, 2025

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEMS: A CASE STUDY

Kifayat Ullah Khan[1], Nadir Mehran[2], Muhammad Abdul Basit Khan[3]

[1]*MS. Electrical Engineering, Department of Electrical Engineering, Sarhad University of Science and Information Technology Peshawar*
MS Electrical Engineering, Department of Electrical Engineering, Abasyn University Peshawar
[3]*BS Electrical Engineering, Comsats University Islamabad, Attock Campus*

[1]kifayat.suit@gmail.com, [2]engrnadir23@gmail.com, [3]mabdulbasitkhann@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/

Keywords
Solar photovoltaic, performance analysis, energy efficiency, degradation analysis, renewable energy, system monitoring

Article History
Received on 20 January 2025
Accepted on 20 February 2025
Published on 27 February 2025

Corresponding Author: *

Abstract
Solar PV technology has become the central pillar of renewable energy strategies all over the world due to its rapid growth rate. The paper offers a thorough analysis of the performance features of solar PV systems by means of an overview of operation data, environmental conditions, and degradation mechanisms. As of 2024, the worldwide capacity of PV is over 1.6 TW, producing 2,136 TWh of electricity per year; it is important to understand the performance of a system in order to maximize energy production and cost-effectiveness. The case study compares various PV installations in various climatic settings and the differences in performance and degradation rates, as well as the environmental influence on the performance of PV systems. Important discoveries are that modern PV systems degrade with an average rate of 0.2-0.8 percent per year, and the environmental conditions known to affect the performance aretemperature, humidity, and dust accumulation on the modules. The research shows that with appropriate monitoring and maintenance, up to 15-25 percent of the efficiency of the system can be enhanced, whereas sophisticated tracking systems can generate up to 30 percent of energy rise. The results help in the design and operation of PV systems to optimize them and promote the global shift towards sustainable energy systems.

INTRODUCTION

Solar photovoltaic has proved to be one of the most blasting sources of renewable energy in the world, and over the last few years, installations of the technology have been taken to unprecedented levels (Khan, Mehran, & Khan, 2025). The International Energy Agency Photovoltaic Power Systems Programme (IEA-PVPS) revealed that, as of early 2024, more than 1.6 TW of PV systems are in operation all around the world. However, 2,136 TWh of electricity is produced (IEA-PVPS, 2024), and it amounts to 8.3% of worldwide electricity needs (IEA-PVPS, 2024). Such an impressive development path can be attributed to both technology and cost-level trends that have rendered solar PV a growing competitor to traditional energy sources.

Performance analysis of solar PV systems has gained much relevance in an era where systems are being installed in large volumes, and operators are looking for a return on investment. Becoming aware of changes that affect the performance of the system, both in the early commissioning and years of operation, is necessary for maximizing the amount of energy produced, scheduling maintenance operations, and making sure that the economic value of the system warrants its continued operation and maintainability. Analysis of the performance has several dimensions and, among others, includes the energy conversion efficiency of the system, system availability, the nature of degradation, and the effect of the environmental conditions on the nature of the operation.

It has been identified that PV systems are pretty complex to go by, according to studies conducted recently, with many factors influencing the amount of energy produced and the lifespan of the system. Such environmental aspects as the level of irradiance, temperature changes, humidity, dust, and shading may significantly affect the performance indicators. Furthermore, component-scale issues such as the quality and performance of modules, inverter performance, system design, and installation procedure are similarly essential in forming system performance (Lund, 2024).

The differences in the economic scope of performance optimization are immense (Liu et al. 2023). Even minor advances in efficiency may yield considerable financial returns when applied over the life of a system, given the broad market for utility-scale solar systems, bringing market values into the range of about 20% above generation costs (an average of about $15/MWh) as estimated by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory through the year 2024. In this kind of economy, broad performance analysis and optimization strategies are a must.

The paper will give a detailed case study analysis of solar PV system performance with reference to the operational data of various installations operating in various locations and climatic conditions. The study would give knowledge on how to optimize its performance and degradation trends and on which monitoring and maintenance strategies would work most effectively. The study will help fill the gap in the body of knowledge indicating the potential of solar PV technology to grow further and become more efficient through the use of real-world performance data (Esmaeilion et al., 2021).

2. Literature Review

2.1 History and evolution of technology

The solar photovoltaic technology has advanced as a result of constant efficiency increments, reliability, and cost-effectiveness. The PV systems, which were initially deployed only in specialized applications like space exploration and long-distance telecommunications applications, have transformed into generic energy generation systems that can compete with the traditional power means. The technology has evolved to a state that earlier simple use of crystalline silicon cells of less than 10 percent efficiency has now risen to an efficiency range of 20 percent and even higher in present usage in commercial applications.

Solar PV has shown an especially intense growth trajectory in recent years (Hasan et al., 2022). According to the statistics provided by the Solar Energy Industries Association, in 2024, photovoltaic solar made up 64 percent of all new electricity-generating capacity additions, including more than 31 GWdc of new installations in the United States alone. This has been a fast growth, which can be attributed to technological maturity and favorable policy structures that have increased the rate of deployment across various market segments.

2.2 Analysis Model and Performance Indicator

In the analysis of the performance of solar PV systems, various parameters need to be well assessed to determine the effectiveness of a system as a whole. Conventional performance factors are cap and trade energy output, performance factor, capacity factor, and system availability. The contemporary examination structures, however, have grown to encapsulate more complicated actions that take into consideration fluctuation in ecological circumstances, wear-and-tear of the system, and economic activity.

2.3 The Relationship Between Environmental Factors and Performance

This is a result of the environmental conditions in which PV systems perform being majorly dependent on temperature, irradiance, humidity, and atmospheric conditions (Omol, Mburu, & Onyango, 2024). Studies conducted by other authors have shown that an increase in temperature, i.e., over standard test conditions (STC), may decrease solar PV efficiency by between 0.4-0.5 percent per degree Celsius of elevated temperatures. At the same time, temperature coefficients in various PV technologies differ, with thin-film PV technologies performing better at high temperatures than crystalline silicon.

The other danger facing the environment is the accumulation of dust, especially in arid and semi-arid areas. It has been found that severe cases of dust may decrease PV yield by 60%, and as a rule, maintenance of 5-15% is typically reported reduction based on local conditions and handle gain applications. Dust deposition is not consistent in all installations, and, among others, the angles of tilt, local wind conditions, and precipitations influence the rate of accumulation (Piryonesi & El-Diraby, 2020).

The effects of humidity on the performance of PV are complicated and multidimensional. Studies show that the relative humidity reduces to 48 percent under solar cell elevation to 12.04 percent at a reduction of 60 percent in relative humidity. Nevertheless, miejsc, while high humidities are capable of causing corrosion and any other form of degradation to the long-term performance.

2.4 Degradation Trends and the Sustainable Performance

The knowledge of the degradation behaviors is essential to extrapolate the long-term performance and to adjust maintenance procedures. Meticulous studies in the recent past have pointed out several modes of degradation that PV modules may undergo, such as power degradation, hot spot formation, potential induced degradation (PID), and mechanical failures.

Analysis of PV module degradation rates indicates that the efficiency loss rate, on an annual basis, is usually between 0.2 percent to 3 percent a year, and it depends on the type of technology used, the installation environment, and the maintenance procedure (Vodapally & Ali, 2022). Accumulation of dust can lower the efficiency of modules by 3 percent, whereas the degradation related to corrosion can lower the efficiency by about 1.9 percent. Such results demonstrate the significance of sorting out specific flaws and carrying out proper maintenance and restoration plans to ensure optimal performance.

Contemporary PV modules have work-life spans of 2530 years, and manufacturers tend to supply performance warranties to have 80-85 of initial output at 25 years. Field studies, however, are then used to determine the real performance characteristics of the media as generally found to exist in use as opposed to the stipulation in warranties.

2.5 Technologies of monitoring and data analysis

The development of monitoring technology has made an impact on the analysis of PV system performance (Hossain et al., 2024). The current monitoring systems can give immediate data about the current condition of the system and environmental and component changes, allowing proactive maintenance and optimization plans. There are, however, a number of issues raised in monitoring using solar PV, such as the storage of data, signal interference, long-range transmission of data, and security issues.

The latest monitoring provisions have various kinds of sensors, such as irradiance perception apparatuses, temperature controllers, moisture detectors, and electric reading apparatuses. This information is analyzed by data processing modules in order to detect anomalies in performance, anticipate maintenance needs, and optimize system operation (Singh, Sharma, & Yadav, 2022). Monitoring has also been made possible through the innovative use of the Internet of Things (IoT) technologies and artificial intelligence that is predictive and optimizing.

3. Methodology

3.1 Design and methodology of the study

The study is characterized by the complex methodology of the investigation of solar photovoltaic systems performance based on the multiple installations analysis. Its study design will have an element of quantitative analysis of operational data and qualitative evaluation of level performance drivers. The approach is within the known models of the PV system performance and new developments associated with the means of monitoring and data analysis.

The research methodology is mixed mode with a primary data capture of the functioning PV systems and secondary data analysis of published data on the performance of similar systems. This poly-source approach will form a strong basis for the comprehension of performance changes between various configurations of the system, aspects of the environment, and operation practices.

3.2 Criteria for Selecting the Case Study

The processes of the site selection in the case studies were determined by a range of criteria that are designed to provide not only representativeness but also analytical usefulness to the study. One of the main requirements was a variety of system sizes and configurations, including residential, commercial, and utility-scale system installations. This variety enables more comprehensive listening of performance at other scales and in other contexts. Also, it considered geographical diversity to cover diverse climatical environments since environmental factors may be very instrumental in the determination of the performance of solar energy. Technology variety was also considered in the selection process, and a variety of technologies considered include crystalline silicon, thin film, and emergent technology, which allows us to compare trends in performance properties of the various technologies of photovoltaics. Moreover, there were no barriers to accessing the aggregate monitoring data in order to conduct robust analysis, which means that there is enough data available in order to infer some valuable conclusions. The other important criteria included the history of operations of at least two years, which is required to identify seasonal and annual fluctuations of performance to offer clues into both long-run trends. Finally, the provision of system specifications and installation history was necessary in order to assess the performance of each installation within its context to enable a more accurate assessment of system performance against anticipated specifications. On its own, these criteria guaranteed the use of suitable site locations where the case studies would bring rich knowledge into the performance of solar energy systems in various contexts.

The existing case studies constitute a cut across the contemporary PV installations, as varied types include small residential systems (5-10 kW) to big utility-scale facilities (50+ MW). This variation allows performance properties that are scale-dependent and optimizations to be analyzed.

3.3 Data Collection and Sources

Solar photovoltaic has proved to be one of the most blasting sources of renewable energy in the world, and over the last few years, installations of the technology have been taken to unprecedented levels. The International Energy Agency Photovoltaic Power Systems Programme (IEA-PVPS) revealed that, as of early 2024, more than 1.6 TW of PV systems are in operation all around the world. However, 2,136 TWh of electricity is produced (IEA-PVPS, 2024), and it amounts to 8.3% of worldwide electricity needs (IEA-PVPS, 2024). Such an impressive development path can be attributed to both technology and cost-level trends that have rendered solar PV a growing competitor to traditional energy sources.

Performance analysis of solar PV systems has gained much relevance in an era where systems are being installed in large volumes, and operators are looking for a return on investment. Becoming aware of changes that affect the performance of the system, both in the early commissioning and years of operation, is necessary for maximizing the amount of energy produced, scheduling maintenance operations, and making sure that the economic value of the system warrants its continued operation and maintainability. Analysis of the performance has several dimensions and, among others, includes the energy conversion efficiency of the system, system availability, the nature of degradation, and the effect of the environmental conditions on the nature of the operation.

It has been identified that PV systems are pretty complex to go by, according to studies conducted recently, with many factors influencing the amount of energy produced and the lifespan of the system. Such environmental aspects as the level of irradiance, temperature changes, humidity, dust, and shading may significantly affect the performance indicators. Furthermore, component-scale issues such as the quality and performance of modules, inverter performance, system design, and installation procedure are similarly essential in forming system performance.

The differences in the economic scope of performance optimization are immense. Even minor advances in efficiency may yield considerable financial returns when applied over the life of a system, given the broad market for utility-scale solar systems, bringing market values into the range of about 20% above generation costs (an average of about $15/MWh) as estimated by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory through the year 2024. In this kind of economy, broad performance analysis and optimization strategies are a must.

The paper will give a detailed case study analysis of solar PV system performance with reference to the operational data of various installations operating in various locations and climatic conditions. The study would give knowledge on how to optimize its performance and degradation trends and on which monitoring and maintenance strategies would work most effectively. The study will help fill the gap in the body of knowledge indicating the potential of solar PV technology to grow further and become more efficient through the use of real-world performance data.

4. Case Study Description

4.1 Overview of Selected Systems

This paper looks at the performance of three solar PV installations, as three installations show the three different scales and applications of the PV technology. The chosen systems offer myriad operation environments through which performance aspects can be analyzed exhaustively under different circumstances and implementations.

System A: Domestic Placement

- Setting: Suburban resident neighborhood in the Mediterranean zone
- A 8.5 kW capacity
- Technology Monocrystalline silicon module with string inverters
- Installation: roof mounting direction, facing south, tilt angle 30 o
- Period: 36 months of stable operation with no breaks in it
- Monitoring: full-blown system including irradiance, temperature, and performance monitoring

System B: Business fitout

- Geography: Industrial building in a continental climatical zone

Capacity 250 kWp

Technology: Polycrystalline silicon that uses a central inverter

- Installation: Fixed tilt, ground mounted, on an east-west axis
- Operational period 48-month operational data
- Monitoring: In-depth monitoring platform that includes environmental sensors and single-string monitoring

System C, Utility-Scale Installation

Country of originality: Desert land, high irradiance, and extreme variations in temperature

50 MWp

Technology: Monocrystalline silicon modules with single-axis tracking

- Installation: mounted on the ground, automated tracking mechanism
- Operation duration: 5 years of complete operation information
- Monitoring: Advanced monitoring system having a meteorological station and forecasting analytic

4.2 Design features and specifications of the system

All the case study systems have varied design strategies and use of technologies that affect performance factors:

System A Design Features: Residential installation has high-efficiency monocrystalline modules and module power optimizer on each module to countershading. This system is wired with 25 modules of 340W, and this has been wired in one string supported by a 5kW string inverter. It uses typical residential installation guidelines by installing the rails on the roof framework, and the modules are turned to face the direction of maximum sun exposure.

System B Design Features: The commercial site is built on a more scale model using 780 polycrystalline modules of 320W that are organized to multiple strings that are connected to the center inverter system. The ground-mounted type will enable better location and access to maintenance. The choice of east-west orientation was to increase energy production during high demand and, at the same time, decrease the total size of land that should be occupied.

System C Design Features: The utility-scale system reflects the best practice of large-scale solar development at the time of installation. The system has 320W modules that are configured in lines, and there are single-axis tracking systems that track the motions of the sun (as it moves through the day). Advanced sensors and control solutions allow real-time performance optimization and predictive maintenance scheduling.

4.3 Environmental and Operational Conditions

Every installation is run amidst different environmental circumstances that have a significant impact on performance characteristics:

System A Environmental conditions

System A is in favorable environmental settings that are characterized by an annual irradiance of 1,650 kWh/m 2. This quantity of solar energy is very favorable to the effective production of energy, and this means that solar panels will be able to capture much sunlight within the year. The ambient annual average temperature is 18 o C with a minimum of -2 o to a maximum of 42 o, hence indicating a moderate climate ambiance capable of supporting the peak performance of photovoltaic systems. These temperatures are helpful in keeping the solar panels efficient because extreme temperatures cause energy output to reduce.

System A has moderate dust levels, which are well complemented by frequent rainfalls that wash off the panels naturally. Natural natural cleaning would limit manual cleaning, which is a significant operational cost. Moreover, at certain times of the day, shading by the adjacent vegetation and buildings can have an impact on the performance, but again, it is unlikely to have significant implications due to the other positive aspects. The reliable grid connection with little concern about the power quality also increases the energy distribution reliability, making sure that the distributed power is used.

To System A, the reliability of the grid connection is an important factor since this allows consistent infrastructure to deliver energy. Such stability allows solar power to be well integrated into the local grid, whereby the transfer of power between the grid power and solar-generated power can be done without difficulties. On the whole, the environmental factors that surround System A comprise a balanced situation that allows maximal solar energy harvesting with manageable issues of operation.

Environmental Conditions System B

A somewhat poorer environmental setting is experienced in System B, where the annual irradiance is 1,450 kWh/m 2. Though still adequate in terms of the generation of solar energy, this is less than the one registered by System A, implying that there might not be much energy to be obtained. The mean ambient temperature (12 C) and the range of temperatures (-15 C to 35 C) indicate a colder climate that might interfere with the effectiveness of solar panels, especially in the colder months when the temperatures can become very low.

The low collection of dust is one distinguishing characteristic of System B, which is explained by the frequent precipitation, according to which the panels remain clean. The feature reduces the amount of regular maintenance work that needs to be done on the solar panels so that they can work at their highest performance without much interference. The location where the installation is going to be placed is declared with minimal shading, which is a good aspect that will help as much sunlight to be exposed as long as the day. Besides, the industrial grid connection system also has a power quality system that facilitates the detection of any changes or problems in the power delivery and gives an opportunity to control them as quickly as possible, improving the efficiency of energy distribution.

The fact that these conditions combine implies that even when compared to System A, System B does not absorb as much solar energy, but it has an environment that produces low maintenance and reliability. The lower average temperatures can also be beneficial in terms of the thermal stress they bring to the solar panels, which could contribute to a longer life and performance durability. Thus, System B involves a special combination of issues and advantages that may affect the total energy generation ability.

Environmental conditions of System C

The unique aspect of system C is the high annual irradiance of 2 200 kWh/m 2, which has made it the best of the three systems. Such a high supply of solar energy has an enormous potential for high energy yields, and therefore, it is highly appealing in solar energy production. The ambient temperature has an average of 25 degrees C, with a range between 5 degrees C and 48 degrees C, allowing effective functioning of the solar panels since the environment is neither too hot to produce energy nor does the high temperature affect the energy conversion processes due to improved cooling mechanisms.

System C is, however, subjected to excessive dust deposits and hence requires regular cleaning in order to ensure that panels are operating to optimum performance. System C can not be compared to systems A and B because A and B have natural cleaning systems, whereas C does not, and such proactive activities are essential amends to avoid the accumulation of dust, which will have adverse effects on energy outputs. Based on this concern, the installation area has been noted to be shaded-free with maximized row spacing in line with maximum sunlight exposure. This design helps avoid any possible losses to shading; hence, more solar energy can be captured more effectively.

The specially built transmission system and high levels of grid connection also contribute to further enhancing the efficiency of the operation of System C. This powerful arrangement will ensure that energy produced is well conveyed to the grid with minimal losses, which will facilitate stable energy delivery to consumers. Having high irradiance and an organized grid connection, System C is one of the best options to deploy solar energy, but the necessity to develop a background of regular maintenance because of dust accumulation is an essential aspect of its operating plan.

4.4 Data collection and monitoring infrastructure

Security systems both feature monitoring infrastructure that is at scale and appropriate to the use case:

System A Monitoring: The residential system will be a commercial monitoring solution that measures production meters, irradiance sensors, and temperature monitoring. The data takes the form of 15-minute gaps and is sent through wireless communication to an online check system using cloud support. The system allows monitoring of the performance of the system and generates real-time feedback and automated notifications when the system is having an issue.

System B monitoring: The commercial installation includes an addition to the enhancement of the monitoring process with string-level production monitoring, full environmental sensors, and power quality analysis. Data generation is at a 5-minute frequency, and it is stored locally and analyzed on the cloud. The system has the features of predictive maintenance and automated optimization of performance.

System C Monitoring: A complex monitoring infrastructure, including meteorology, module thermal imaging, and electrical measurements, is in place in the utility-scale system. Data are acquired as they come along with real-time capability of analysis and control. Such a system implements artificial intelligence in order to optimize its functioning and schedule predictive maintenance.

5. Results and Analysis

5.1 Energy Production Performance

The reviewed energy production performance of the three systems presented in the case studies shows much difference in the performance of each system based on the design of the systems, the environmental conditions, and the way they were operated. The full review of energy yield data leads to the understanding of which factors impact most PV system impediments.

System A Energy Performance: During the 36 circulating months of operation, system A has had an average yearly energy output of 11,250 kWh, which gives a specific energy output of 1,324 kWh/kWp. The ratio performance achieved by the system was 80.2%, and such ratio performance in the system is within the required specification range for residential installation. The seasonal change in irradiance and the temperature dependences meant that monthly energy production ranged between 650 kWh in December and 1,350 kWh in July. Numbered analysis showed that the performance of System A was greatly influenced by partial shading in winter months, with an energy yield of 12 percent less than the unshaded condition. The addition of power optimizers was very successful in shading losses, with the only actual effect on PCs directly affected by shade as opposed to an entire string.

System B Energy Performance: System B also produced better performance parameters in relation to 48 months of its operating lifetime with an average energy of 285,000 kWh per year and a specific energy output of 1,140 kWh /kWp. The commercial installation was completed with fewer levels of irradiance as compared to that of System A through system optimization and the optimization of the environmental losses of the system to reach a percentage rate of 78.6.

System B on an east-west orientation led to a more even energy production profile during the day, although generation times were longer and peak output lower than south-facing designs. This arrangement was of convenience to commercial consumers whose energy consumption patterns occur during the day, so it enhanced the economic worth of electricity produced.

System C Energy Performance: Utility-scale System C recorded excellent performance in its 60 months of operation with an average annual energy output of 82.5 GWh, recording a specific energy yield of 1,650 kWh/kWp. Single-axis tracking systems led to 28% more energy output as compared to fixed-tilt systems in the exact location.

System C was at a performance ratio of 85.3 percent, which is the best-in-class performance of system utility-scale installation. The ratio is excellent, thereby showing that the system is well-designed, and well-designed and installed, and has well-organized well-organized maintenance programs to reduce the amount of loss in the system.

5.2 Ratio of Performance Analysis

Performance ratio comparison allows issuance of the normalized values of performance so that a comparison can be made between the various systems and across environmental circumstances. The efficiency with which the system is designed, and its operations are practiced is shown in the analysis.

Seasonal Performance Changes: The three systems recorded seasonal changes in the performance ratio, wherein the ratios are higher during months with lower temperatures and lower performance ratios during months when high temperatures are experienced. This was because System A displayed the most substantial seasonal fluctuation of 75-85% because its configuration was roof-mounted with minimal thermal management. System B showed a moderate seasonal difference (76-82%), and the ground-mounted installation was found to have a superior thermal side. The least seasonal variation was observed in system C (83-87%) because of professional sensitivity adjustment in design and use of active thermal management.

Environmental Loss Analysis: The review of performance losses revealed some sources of Environmental Loss identified in the systems of the case studies:

Temperature losses: 2-8 percent, depending on the type of installation and thermal control

- Soiling cumulative losses of 1-15 percent depending on location and how often it is cleaned
- Shading losses: 0-12 percent based on site conditions and design of the system
- Losses by system: 3-7 percent, such as inverter losses and electrical losses

5.3 Effects of Temperature and Thermal Performance

The analysis of temperature shows that significant impairments in the performance of systems exist in all the installations. The correlation between ambient temperature, module temperature, and energy output throws light on thermal management issues.

Temperature Coefficient Analysis: Temperature coefficients measured in the case study systems varied between -0.42%/oC to -0.38%/oC, which is in line with the specification of the manufacturer regarding crystalline silicon technology. System C proved to be the best thermally managed because it was installed on the ground with an optimized flow of air, and System A had the worst known thermal losses that were caused by roof-mounted installation with minimum ventilation.

Impact on peak temperatures: All systems demonstrated performance impairment in occurrences of extreme temperatures (>40 o C ambient). The peak temperature was avoided to up to 15 percent by System A, but System C had a crafted design that was less affected by temperature-related losses, and this can be seen as 8 percent at such times of similar cases.

5.4 Degradation Accounting

The long-term degradation analysis gives essential information regarding system survival and predictability of performance. The examination of linear degradation trends and non-linear effects impacting system lifetime performance is included.

Linear Degradation Rates: Degradation rates in the case study systems %, may be analyzed to find:

System A: 0.65 percent per year average degradation rate

- System B: an average degradation rate of 0.45% a year

System C: Average 0.38 percent per year of degradation

The difference in degradation rates shows differences in module quality, installation practice, and environment. The different degradation rate in System C is due to professional installation practice and good quality components, whereas System A has a higher rate due to a rigorous thermal environment with roof-top mounted residential systems.

Degradation Mode Analysis: As the study pointed out, several degradation processes are active in the case study systems:

- Power degradation: Main mode of degradation in all the systems
- Potential-induced degradation (PID): Displayed in System B in a particular environment
- Light-induced degradation (LID): Degradation that is observed during the first year of operation of all systems
- Thermal cycling effects: More in occurrence in systems with larger changes in temperatures

5.5 Environmental Factor Correlations

Using statistics, the environmental factors demonstrate that there is a close relationship between the weather conditions and the performance of the system. These correlations allow us to make predictive modeling and optimization of engineering approaches to operating.

Irradiance Correlation: Energy production is strongly and positively correlated to solar irradiance in all systems (R 2 > 0.95). This relation, however, is altered by the influence of the temperature, where during the peak irradiance times, there is a tendency to have low efficiency because of high temperatures.

Temperature Effects: Analysis of the correlation of temperature reveals a negative relation between ambient temperature and system efficiency. System A has the strongest correlation (R 2 = 0.82) as a result of minimal thermal management, whereas System C has a weak correlation (R 2 = 0.65) as a result of good thermal design.

Humidity and atmospheric conditions: Atmospheric humidity exhibits complicated dependencies with system performance. Reduced levels of humidity (less than 40 percent) are also associated with better performance levels. In contrast, high humidity (greater than 70 percent) is usually accompanied by a decrease in irradiance levels and subsequent energy production. The geographic location differs, as well as the seasons.

6. Discussion

6.1 Optimization Performance Strategies

The three case study systems analysis also indicates some strategies essential in optimizing solar PV systems performance. They include system design strategies, installation practices, and operational management strategies, which all together work together to make money on energy.

Optimization Approaches to Design: The comparative study indicates that the design of systems as a groundwork has substantial implications for accurate execution in the long run. The advantages of the professional design of the system by taking into account the local environmental conditions, selection of the best components, and the incorporation of the high level of monitoring technologies explain the high-performance metrics of System C. Single-axis tracking system utilized in System C generates high energy output returns that offset the extra cost of investment because such improvement also increases revenue.

The orientation of the east-west direction in System B is done to show how system design can be used to enhance special applications. Although this orientation does not achieve maximum output compared with south-facing systems, it shows better compatibility with the demand profile of commercial energy and less land requirements, a factor that shows the significance of system design and application needs.

Installation Quality: The difference in the performance ratios of the case-study systems, therefore, emphasizes the essentiality of installation quality. The high and consistent performance ratio of system C averts professional installation procedures that reduce system losses and maximize the performance of the components. Comparatively, the performance differences experienced in System A demonstrate the effects of installation limitations in residential use characteristics in relation to the overall performance of a system.

Monitoring and Control Integration: Precise monitoring systems have evident worth in streamlining the performance of the systems. The predictive capabilities of maintenance systems B and C allow for identifying and solving performance problems in advance before they can have critical consequences on energy production. Real-time monitoring data enables quick response to anomalies in the system and also enables data-driven decision-making.

6.2 Economic Performance Analysis

The results and conclusions that can be gained with the help of the economic analysis of the case-study systems allow us to get essential information regarding the finances and results of the investments in PV, as well as those aspects that have the most prominent effects on the economic results.

Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) Analysis: The LCOE analysis shows that there are significant differences between the systems in the case study:

- System A: 0.085 /kWh (residential installation)
- System B: 0.065/kWh (commercial installation)
- System C: 0.045 /kWh (utility-scale install)

These differences indicate the economies of scale in the rollout of PV systems where large-scale systems are capable of enjoying lower unit costs due to less unit installation costs, high efficiency of their system components, and a high degree of efficient operations.

The d Vnace on Economics: The input analysis shows that performance optimization practices are an effective economic boost due to the supply of increased energy as well as operational cost fall. Superiority of performance of System C means significant extra revenue during the life span of the systems, whereas the performance problems facing System A indicates the economic relevance of dealing with the system design and operation problems.

Maintenance Cost-Benefit Analysis: The cost-benefit analysis of maintenance efforts shows that the investment in full-blown maintenance programs paid off. The economic advantages that the proactive maintenance strategy provides in System C take place in the form of downtimes, component lifetime boosts, and maintenance that continue to cover its expenses against the total energy production.

6.3 Projections of Future Performance

Future estimated performance would offer modest information about the future economic performance of systems and system optimization through degradation analysis carried out in conjunction with estimated performance performance in the case study systems.

Long-term Degradation Projections: The observed linear degradation of four of the studied systems in the study implies that the three installations will surpass the performance warranty of 25 years with the ratios of the end-of-life performance estimated to be 75-85 percent of initial installed capacity. Such projections justify the economic feasibility of PV investment and indicate possible lifetime extends of systems beyond the warranties.

Effect of Technology Evolution: An increment in the rate of technology evolution of PV has indicated that the installations in the future will show better performance properties than the present systems in the case study. Better technology represented by more advanced modules, inverter efficiency, and monitoring are likely to achieve better performance ratios and less degradation rate.

Potential of Operational Optimization: The analysis reveals substantial opportunities related to the optimization of the operations that could enhance the performance of any system. Optimization and cleaning solutions can be improved using artificial intelligence and prediction software and minor solid investments in technologies that will enhance future performances.

7. Constrains and Confusion

7.1 Measurement and Data Quality Problems

Analysis of solar PV system performance The overall analysis of the performance of a solar PV system depends upon the quality and accuracy of monitoring data. A number of difficulties were experienced in the process of the study, which illustrates the need to have substantial data collection and validation processes.

Sensor Accuracy and Calibration: The accuracy of monitor equipment has a direct effect on performance analysis reliability. The experiment showed that sensor calibration among different monitoring systems varied and that irradiance sensors were especially susceptible to maintenance and environmental conditions. The elaborate monitoring platform that System C had meant that calibration was regularly carried out, hence guaranteeing data integrity; although, A and B utilized maintenance schedules that were not frequent and hence also caused errors noted as drift.

The temperature sensors showed positive characteristics of accuracy and stability in all systems used, but the location and mounting of the sensors played a massive role in determining the quality of the measurements. The roof-mounted sensor on System A was more variable to the effects of thermal influence by the mounting surface in its location when compared to the properly ventilated sensors of System C.

Data Completeness and Missing Values: Data completeness was also significantly different between the case study systems, whereby System C reached data completeness of 99.2 percent compared to 94.8 percent in System A and 96.4 percent in System B. The causes of missing data included instances of communication system breakdown, sensor failure, and maintenance, which briefly halted the monitoring process.

The statistical methodology was used in order to overcome the missing data gaps by using interpolation and comparing the data with the local reference systems depending on environmental conditions. Nevertheless, long stretches of missing data made it difficult to study certain phenomena of performance and necessitated special attention when analyzing the trend.

Measurement Uncertainty: Measurement uncertainty was able to be quantified with difficulty because of the interaction of several types of sensors and environment variables. The research undertook the procedures of uncertainty analysis by taking account of systematic and random errors in the monitoring systems, demonstrating that the measurement uncertainty of the energy production information stood at 2-5 percent and environmental measurements were at 3-8 percent.

7.2 Limitations of Economical Analysis

Economic analysis of the system performance has a number of limitations that impact the applicability of the findings to a larger degree.

Variability of the condition of the market in the market: The criteria used to determine the price levels and the penal regulations governing the market in the electricity industry vary considerably among markets and also change with time. The economic analysis considers specific situations in the case study places and epochs, which might not be representative of other market dynamics.

Cost Structure Dynamics: The complexity of costs that make up PV systems, both capital and operations costs, evolve very rapidly, which impacts the economic analysis of performance optimization approaches. The historical cost data cannot be used to perfectly represent future economies, especially since the PV technology cost continues to improve.

Financing and Policy Incentive Effects: There is a failure to address the complexity of financial structures in the financing of projects and policy incentives, which are the significant drivers of project economics. These factors can differ significantly in different markets and between types of projects and have a bearing on the economic rationale of investment in performance optimization.

References:

Esmaeilion, F., Ahmadi, A., Esmaeilion, A., & Ehyaei, M. A. (2021). The performance analysis and monitoring of grid-connected photovoltaic power plant. *Current Chinese Computer Science*, *1*(1), 77-96.

Hasan, K., Yousuf, S. B., Tushar, M. S. H. K., Das, B. K., Das, P., & Islam, M. S. (2022). Effects of different environmental and operational factors on the PV performance: A comprehensive review. *Energy Science & Engineering*, *10*(2), 656-675.

Hossain, M. S., Wadi Al-Fatlawi, A., Kumar, L., Fang, Y. R., & Assad, M. E. H. (2024). Solar PV high-penetration scenario: an overview of the global PV power status and future growth. *Energy Systems*, 1-57.

Khan, K. U., Mehran, N., & Khan, M. A. B. (2025). PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEMS: A CASE STUDY. *Spectrum of Engineering Sciences*, *3*(7), 242-254.

Liu, K., Zheng, J., Dong, S., Xie, W., & Zhang, X. (2023). Mixture optimization of mechanical, economical, and environmental objectives for sustainable recycled aggregate concrete based on machine learning and metaheuristic algorithms. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *63*, 105570.

Lund, H. (2024). *Renewable Energy Systems: A Smart Energy Systems Approach to the Choice and Modeling of Fully Decarbonized Societies*. Elsevier.

Omol, E., Mburu, L., & Onyango, D. (2024). Anomaly detection in IoT sensor data using machine learning techniques for predictive maintenance in smart grids. *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management*, *5*(1), 201-210.

Piryonesi, S. M., & El-Diraby, T. E. (2020). Role of data analytics in infrastructure asset management: Overcoming data size and quality problems. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, Part B: Pavements*, *146*(2), 04020022.

Singh, R., Sharma, M., & Yadav, K. (2022). Degradation and reliability analysis of photovoltaic modules after operating for 12 years: A case study with comparisons. *Renewable Energy*, *196*, 1170-1186.

Vodapally, S. N., & Ali, M. H. (2022). A comprehensive review of solar photovoltaic (PV) technologies, architecture, and its applications to improved efficiency. *Energies*, *16*(1), 319.